

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/752,322	NISHIDE, SATORU	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James R. Harvey	2833	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** 30

 (1) James R. Harvey.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Mr. Garald E. Hespos.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 29 October 2004
**Time:** 10:30
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*1 aand 7*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*
**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Mr. Hespos and examiner agreed to use an examiner's amendment to correct grammatical errors not the scope of the claim.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)